

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
EASTERN DIVISION

WILLIAM LANIER ELLIS, SR.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:07-CV-920-MHT
)	
TINA RILEY-PELFREY, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER ON MOTION

On December 14, 2007, the plaintiff filed a third motion for appointment of counsel (Court Doc. No. 30). The facts underlying the plaintiff's claims for relief are relatively simple and the law applicable to such claims is not complex. Moreover, the pleadings filed in this case establish that the plaintiff is capable of presenting the necessary elements of his claims to this court and fail to demonstrate that there are exceptional circumstances justifying appointment of counsel. *See Kilgo v. Ricks*, 983 F.2d 189, 193 (11th Cir. 1993); *see also Fowler v. Jones*, 899 F.2d 1088, 1096 (11th Cir. 1990). Accordingly, the interests of justice do not require the appointment of counsel and it is therefore

ORDERED that the motion for appointment of counsel be and is hereby DENIED.

Done this 17th day of December, 2007.

/s/ Susan Russ Walker
SUSAN RUSS WALKER
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE